Software Engineering for Artificial Intelligence



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Dani El-Soufi Benjamin Fleischmann TU Darmstadt – SS 20

Requirements and Risks



09.06.20 | FB 20 | SE4AI Requirements and Risks | D. El-Soufi, B. Fleischmann | 1

Overview



- 1. AI makes mistakes
- 2. Requirements
- 3. Risks
- 4. Risks mitigation ideas
 - Feature selection
 - Testing
 - Monitoring
- 5. Conclusion



AI makes mistakes



The mistakes intelligence makes:

- Aren't necessarily intuitive.
- Aren't the same from day to day.
- Aren't easy to find ahead of time.
- Aren't possible to fix with "just a little more work on intelligence."

Different types of mistakes



The Intelligence says some is

		There	Not there
Someone actually is	There	True positive	False negative
	Not There	False positive	True negative



AI Changes



- If it learns something new, it maybe changes the result.
 - ...but in which way?
- We control the way AI changes



Humanfactor



- Confusion
- Distrust
- Lack of confidence
- Fatigue
- Creep Factor

Intelligence Quality



- What makes an AI a good AI?
 - It depends on the use case
- A better Intelligence can support more forceful, frequent experiences
- Change comes from improved intelligence

Requirements Engineering



- The Process of defining the Specifications of the Software
- A lot of definitions and standards (e.g. IEEE 830)



Types of Requirements



- Functional requirements
 - What the system should do in terms of functionality
 - Input & output, response to events
- Quality (non-functional) requirements
 - How well the system delivers its functionality
 - Performance, reliability, security, safety, availability...

Specification in Al



Hard specifications are difficult

- Available Data is often small or partially describe the wanted AI model
- Accuracy is often not the requirement in an AI system (it's quite okay if the model makes wrong predictions)



Importance of Context



Too many parameters, features, sensors and the human factor (complex problem)

- Slicing context to simple smaller problems
- Monitoring user activities
- Training AI to target smaller problems
- Continual verification

AI Models are specifications



- The AI Model is just a Specification
 - What should it do?
- If the implementation is correct but the result is wrong, it could be the wrong Model



Machine learning is like requirements engineering





Machine Learning as Requirements Engineering (Source [1])



Machine learning is like requirements engineering



Assuming AI models are specifications

- We need to identify relevant and representative data
- In both machine learning and requirements engineering, we may need to compromise user-desired functionality with laws governing privacy, fairness, or security
- When we identify that the specification does not fit, we have often gained valuable insights and in some cases learn something immediately actionable



Intelligent Experiences are Complex



- Understanding AI mistakes is not intuitive
- Unmitigated mistakes may result in system distrust
- False positives and false negatives may result in confusion



ML-Based Testing and Monitoring (Source [2])

\rightarrow Lack of confidence, safety and reliability in the system



Complex AI systems require constant testing and monitoring

→ Large technical debt

- Traditional ways of paying debt
 - 1. Code refactoring
 - 2. Increasing coverage of unit tests
 - 3. Reduce dependencies
 - 4. Improving documentation

\rightarrow Code and system-level complexity for ML

Traditional System Testing and Monitoring (Source [2])





Data and Feature Selection



- 1. Identify expected features from the training data in schemas with help of statistics for future analysis
- 2. Understanding the value of every feature
- 3. Reduce the feature set to the features that add the most predictive benefit
- 4. The training data has appropriate privacy controls



Testing



- 1. Version control for the model code and training data
- 2. Use A/B testing to understand online vs. offline result evaluation and model's staleness
- 3. Use data slicing to understand model quality
- 4. Enforce ML faireness
- 5. Implement unit test for API usage
- 6. Implement assertions to verify the ML's algorithmic subcomputations



Monitoring



It's important verify that the ML system is working over time

\rightarrow In case of an incident that ML system code can be rolled back

How?

- 1. Notify on dependency changes
- 2. Log traffic and label data of new evolving features for future analysis
- 3. Measure statistical bias in predictions to monitor prediction quality



Conclusion



- AI makes different and unpredictable mistakes
- Undestanding AI mistakes isn't intuitive
- AI changes over time
- Understanding context is a complex task
- Requirements engineering produces large amount of technical debt
- Possible mitigations:
 - 1. Data and Feature Selection
 - 2. Testing
 - 3. Monitoring



Questions









THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



09.06.20 | FB 20 | SE4AI Requirements and Risks | D. El-Soufi, B. Fleischmann | 22

References



- [1] Kaestner, C., "Machine Learning is Requirements Engineering On the Role of Bugs, Verification, and Validation in Machine Learning", <u>https://medium.com/analytics-</u> <u>vidhya/machine-learning-is-requirements-engineering-8957aee55ef4</u>, 2020 (visited 07.06.2020).
- [2] Breck, E., Cai, S., Nielsen, E., Salib, M. and Sculley, D., "The ML test score: A rubric for ML production readiness and technical debt reduction," 2017 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), Boston, MA, 2017, pp. 1123-1132.
- [3] Sculley, D., Holt, G., Golovin, D., Davydov, E., Phillips, T., Ebner, D., Chaudhary, V. & Young, M., "Machine Learning: The High Interest Credit Card of Technical Debt", SE4ML: Software Engineering for Machine Learning (NIPS 2014 Workshop), 2014.
- [4] Borg, M., "Requirements Engineering for Machine Learning: Perspectives from Data Scientists", <u>http://mrksbrg.com/aire19-vogelsang/</u>, 2019 (visited 07.06.2020).
- [5] Hulten, G., "*Building intelligent systems : A guide to machine learning engineering*", Apress L. P., 2018.

Acknowledgements & License



- The Images either come from the authors of these slides or the sources are linked
- These slides are made available by the authors (Dani El-Soufi, Benjamin Fleischmann) under CC BY 4.0

